arXiv:1409.0122v1 [math.PR] 30 Aug 2014

# Generalized Gaussian Random Unitary Matrices Ensemble

Mohamed BOUALI

**Abstact**

We describe Generalized Hermitian matrices ensemble sometimes called Chiral ensemble. We give global asymptotic of the density of eigenvalues or the statistical density. We will calculate a Laplace transform of such a density for finite $n$, which will be expressed through an hypergeometric function. When the dimensional of the hermitian matrix begin large enough, we will prove that the statistical density of eigenvalues converge in the tight topology to some probability measure, which generalize the Wigner semi-circle law.

**Mathematics Subject classification:** 15B52, 15B57, 60B10.

## 1 Introduction

The eigenvalues distribution of a self-adjoint random $n \times n$ matrix $A$, for $n$ large, was first studied by E. Wigner 1955, and has since been then an active research area in mathematical physics. (See (Mehta 1991) [6] and reference given there). At my known the model we are aiming it belong to the class of Chiral Models (Akemann 2003 [1]), (Damgaard, Nishigaki 1998, [2]).

In this paper we give an entirely analytical treatment of the key result on asymptotic eigenvalues distribution of generalized gaussian self-adjoint random matrix. All these results generalizes the wigner result. Our ideas is based to derive an explicit formula for the mean value $\mathbb{E}_n\Big(Tr[A^2\exp(sA^2)]\Big)$

as a function of a complex variable $s$, where $A$ is a self-adjoint random $n \times n$ matrix

We begin by explaining the model, as a model of unitary invariant Hermitian matrices ensemble. In section 2 we give the statistical density (p.d.f) of the eigenvalues and we explain how a scaling of order $\sqrt{n}$ is necessary for the eigenvalues density. In the same section we recalled some classical results in theory of probability measures, which will be useful for proving the mean theorem. Moreover we will defined the generalized Hermite polynomials which is the crucial tool to derive the Laplace transform of the statistical distribution of eigenvalues. At the end of section 2, we show that, the limit of the statistical density of eigenvalues generalizes the Wigner semi-circle law and it coincides with the Wigner law when some extra parameter become zero. A such result will be explained on a plot for different values of the extra parameter $c$.

In section 3, by performing some log-Sobolev inequalities, we prove the convergence of the maximal and minimum eigenvalues to the end of the support of the limit measure of the statistical density.

Let $H_n = Herm(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the vector space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices. For $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$, we denote by $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}$ the probability measure on $H_n$ defined by.

$$\int_{H_n} f(x)\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}(dx) = \frac{1}{C_n}\int_{H_n} f(x)|\det(x)|^{2\mu}e^{-\mathrm{tr}(x^2)}m_n(dx),$$

for a bounded measurable function $f$, where $m_n$ is the Euclidean measure associated to the usual inner product $< x, y >= \mathrm{tr}(xy)$ on $H_n$ and $C_n$ is a normalizing constant.

$$C_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_\mu(k)$$

$$\alpha_\mu(k) = \begin{cases} m!\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ m!\Gamma(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2}) & \text{if } k = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

When $\mu = 0$, one recover's the value of the classical Mehta integral $C_n = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} k!$.

We endowed the space $H_n$ with the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}$. The probability $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}$ is invariant for the action of the unitary group $U(n)$ by conjugation

$$x \mapsto uxu^* \qquad (u \in U(n)).$$

## 2 Statistical eigenvalues distribution

Let $f$ be a $U(n)$-invariant function on $H_n$.

$$f(uxu^*) = f(x) \qquad \forall\ u \in U(n),$$

According to the spectral theorem, there exist a symmetric function $F$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$f(x) = F(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n).$$

If $f$ is integrable with respect to $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}$, then according to the formula of integration of Weyl it follows that

$$\int_{H_n} f(x)\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) q_n^{\mu}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n,$$

where

$$q_n^{\mu}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{C_n} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k^{2\mu} \Delta(\lambda)^2,$$

and

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j),$$

is the Vandermonde determinant. We will study the asymptotic of the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues i.e. it is looked as $n$ goes to infinity the asymptotic behavior of the probability $\nu_n$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ as follows: if $f$ is a measurable function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\nu_n(dt) = \int_{H_n} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{tr}(f(x))\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}(dx).$$

Such a measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure

$$\nu_n(dt) = h_n^{\mu}(t)dt.$$

where

$$h_n^{\mu}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} q_n^{\mu}(t, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_2 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

We compute the two first moments of the measure $\nu_n$:

$$m_1(\nu_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t\nu_n(dt) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \mathrm{tr}(x) \mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}(dx) = 0,$$

the second moment is:

$$m_2(\nu_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \mathrm{tr}(x^2) \mathbb{P}_{n,\mu}(dx),$$

Since for all $\alpha > 0$,

$$C_n(\alpha) = \int_{H_n} f(x) |\det(x)|^{2\mu} e^{-\alpha \mathrm{tr}(x^2)} m_n(dx) = \alpha^{-n\mu - n^2 - \frac{n}{2}} C_n,$$

and

$$m_2(\nu_n) = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \log(C_n(\alpha))|_{\alpha=1} = n + \mu + \frac{1}{2}.$$

This suggests that $\nu_n$ does't converge, and a scaling of order $\sqrt{n+\mu}$ is necessary. Let defined $\widetilde{\nu}_n$ by:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \widetilde{\nu}_n(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}) \nu_n(dt),$$

We obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \widetilde{\nu}_n(dt) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \exp\Big( - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{\alpha_n}(x_i) \Big) |\Delta(x)|^{\beta} dx,$$

where $Q_{\alpha_n}(x) = x^2 + \alpha_n \log \frac{1}{|x|}$, $\alpha_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{n}$, and $Z_n$ is a normalizing constant.

We come to the principal result of the paper

**Theorem 2.1** — *Let $(\mu_n)_n$ be a sequence of positif real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = c \geq 0.$$

*Then, after scaling by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, the measure $\nu_n$, converges weakly to the measure $\nu_c$ supported by $S = [-b, -a] \cup [a, b]$, with density*

$$f_c(t) = \frac{1}{\pi |t|} \sqrt{(t^2 - a^2)(b^2 - t^2)},$$

*where* $a = \sqrt{1 + c - \sqrt{1 + 2c}}$ *and* $b = \sqrt{1 + c + \sqrt{1 + 2c}}$. *This means that, for a bounded continuous function* $\varphi$ *on* $\mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\frac{u}{\sqrt{n}}) \nu_n(du) = \int_S \varphi(u) \nu_c(du).$$

Observe that, if $c = 0$, and hence $a = 0, b = \sqrt{2}$, one recovers Wigner's Theorem:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\frac{u}{\sqrt{n}}) \nu_n(du) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\sqrt{2}}^{\sqrt{2}} \varphi(u) \sqrt{2 - t^2} du.$$

We will give a proof by making use the orthogonal polynomials methods.

In forthcoming paper we will study the same model where the Dyson index 2 in the Vandermonde determinant will be replaced by a strictly positif parameter $\beta > 0$.

## 2.1 Orthogonal polynomials method

For $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$, the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_n^\mu$ are defined by the Rodrigues formula

$$H_n^\mu(x) = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{\gamma_\mu(n)} e^{x^2} D_\mu^n(e^{-x^2})$$

where $D_\mu^n = D_\mu \circ \cdots \circ D_\mu$ $n$-times, and $D_\mu$ is the one-dimensional Dunkl operator defined by

$$D_\mu(\varphi)(x) = \varphi'(x) + \frac{\mu}{x}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(-x)),$$

and

$$\gamma_\mu(k) = \begin{cases} 2^{2m} m! \dfrac{\Gamma(m + \mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})} & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ 2^{2m+1} m! \dfrac{\Gamma(m + \mu + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})} & \text{if } k = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

See for such definition of generalized Hermite polynomials (Rosenblum 1994 [8]).

The generalized Hermite polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$(p, q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) q(x) |x|^{2\mu} e^{-x^2} dx.$$

In such a way the square norm of $H_n^\mu$ is

$$d_{n,\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(H_n^\mu(x)\right)^2 |x|^{2\mu} e^{-x^2} dx = \Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{2^n (n!)^2}{\gamma_\mu(n)}.$$

We defined the generalized Hermite function as follows

$$\psi_n^\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{n,\mu}}} |x|^\mu e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} H_n^\mu(x).$$

The generalized Hermite polynomials are closely related to the generalized Laguerre polynomials:

$$H_{2m}^\mu(x) = (-1)^m (2m)! \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m + \mu + \frac{1}{2})} L_m^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}}(x^2),$$

$$H_{2m+1}^\mu(x) = (-1)^m (2m+1)! \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m + \mu + \frac{3}{2})} x L_m^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}}(x^2).$$

Recall that the generalized Laguerre function $\varphi_n^\alpha$ is defined, for $\alpha > -1$, $x > 0$ by

$$\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{x}{2}} L_n^\alpha(x).$$

Hence

$$\psi_{2m}^\mu(x) = (-1)^m \sqrt{|x|} \varphi_m^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}}(x^2),$$

$$\psi_{2m+1}^\mu(x) = (-1)^m \mathrm{sign}(x) \sqrt{|x|} \varphi_m^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}}(x^2),$$

The sequence $\{\psi_n^\mu\}_n$ is a Hilbert basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Before proving the mean result, we give some preliminary results.

**Proposition 2.2** — *Let $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots$ be a sequence of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$, vanishing at $\pm\infty$, respectively the space of bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n(]-\infty, x]) = \sigma(]-\infty, x]) = F(x)$ *for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, point of continuity of $F$.*
2. *For all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\sigma_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\sigma(dx)$.*

3. *For all* $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\sigma_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\sigma(dx)$.

4. *For all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx}\sigma_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx}\sigma(dx)$.

**Proof.** ([4] Chapter III theorem 1 and theorem 2, chapter VI theorem 2).

**Definition 2.3** — *Let* $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots$ *be a sequence of probability measures on* $\mathbb{R}$. *If one of the conditions in the previous proposition hold we say that the measure* $\sigma_n$ *converge weakly to* $\sigma$.

**Corollary 2.4** — *By the properties* (1) *of the previous proposition we deduce for all interval* $I$ *of* $\mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n(I) = \sigma(I)$.

From the fact that the density $h_n^{\mu_n}$ is even function, we will study the asymptotic of $h_n^{\mu_n}(t)$ as $n$ go to infinity for $t \geq 0$ and we deduce by symmetry the case $t \leq 0$.

**Proposition 2.5** — *The density* $h_n^{\mu}$ *of the statistical distribution of eigenvalues is given by*

$$h_n^{\mu}(x) = \frac{|x|}{n}\left( \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} \left(\varphi_k^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2)\right)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n-2}{2}]} \left(\varphi_k^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x^2)\right)^2 \right),$$

*where* $[x]$ *is the greatest integer less or equal to* $x$.

**Proof.**— Let $K_n^{\mu}$ be the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$K_n^{\mu}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k^{\mu}(x)\psi_k^{\mu}(y),$$

The density $h_n^{\mu}$ of the statistical distribution of eigenvalues $\nu_n$ is given by

$$h_n^{\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{n} K_n^{\mu}(t,t).$$

(See for instance (Faraut 2011 [3]) proposition III.3.2 .) Hence the formula for $h_n^{\mu}$ follows from the relations above.
We will use the following Laplace transform formula.

**Lemma 2.6** —*For* $\mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \varphi_k^{\alpha}(x)^2 x \exp(sx) dx$$
$$= (m+1)(m+\alpha+1)\frac{1}{(1-s)^{2m+\alpha+2}} {}_2F_1(-m,-\alpha,2;s^2).$$

*where* ${}_2F_1$ *is the Gauss hypergeometric function.*

([5], Lemma 6.3.)

Let $\tau_n$ denote the measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with density $\sqrt{t}h_n^{\mu}(\sqrt{t})$. From the previous Laplace transform formula we get, for $\mathrm{Re}(s) < n$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\frac{s}{n}} \tau_n(dt) = G_n^{\mu,1}(s) + G_n^{\mu,2}(s),$$

with

$$G_n^{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{(m+1)(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{n^2}$$
$$\frac{1}{(1-\frac{s}{n})^{2m+\mu+\frac{3}{2}}} {}_2F_1(-m-\mu+\frac{1}{2},-m,2;\frac{s^2}{n^2}),$$

$$G_n^{\mu,2}(s) = \frac{(n-m-1)(n-m+\mu-\frac{1}{2})}{n^2}$$
$$\frac{1}{(1-\frac{s}{n})^{2(n-m)+\mu-\frac{3}{2}}} {}_2F_1(-n+m-\mu+\frac{1}{2},2-n+m,2;\frac{s^2}{n^2}),$$

where $m = m_n = [\frac{n-1}{2}]$.

**Lemma 2.7** — *Let* $\mu_n$ *be a sequence of positif real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = c.$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{\mu_n,1}(s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{\mu_n,1}(s) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+c)e^{(1+c)s}F(\frac{s}{2}\sqrt{1+2c}),$$

*where* $F$ *is the function defined on* $\mathbb{C}$ *by*

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!(k+1)!} z^{2k}.$$

*The convergence is uniform on every compact set in* $\mathbb{C}$.

Observe that the function $G_n^{\mu,1}$ and $G_n^{\mu,2}$ are defined for $\mathrm{Re}(s) < n$. The function F is a Bessel function: $F(z) = {}_0F_1(2;z)$.

**Proof.**— We saw

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_2F_1(-m-\mu+\frac{1}{2},-m,2;\frac{s^2}{n^2}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(m+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2})(m+\mu_n-\frac{3}{2})\cdots(m+\mu_n-j+\frac{1}{2})m(m-1)\cdots(m-j+1)}{j!(j+1)!}\frac{s^{2j}}{n^{2j}}, \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{a_j(n)}{j!(j+1)!}s^{2j},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
a_j(n) = \frac{(m+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2})(m+\mu_n-\frac{3}{2})\cdots(m+\mu_n-j+\frac{1}{2})m(m-1)\cdots(m-j+1)}{n^{2j}}.
$$

Since

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{m}{n} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{[\frac{n-1}{2}]}{n} = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mu_n}{n} = c.
$$

Then if we put $\gamma = \sup_{n\geq 1}\frac{m+\mu_n}{n}$, since $\frac{m}{n} \leq 1$ we obtain

$$
a_j(n) \leq \gamma^j.
$$

Let $R > 0$, then for all $|s| \leq R$,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}\frac{a_j(n)}{j!(j+1)!}|s|^{2j} \leq e^{\gamma R^2}.
$$

By using the Cauchy integral formulas and dominated convergence theorem, we obtain the convergence of the series on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore,

$$
\left|(1-\frac{s}{n})^{2m_n+\mu_n+\frac{3}{2}}\right| \leq \left(1+\frac{|s|}{n}\right)^{2m+\mu_n+\frac{3}{2}} \leq e^{(3+\gamma)R}.
$$

Put all this together, and applying again the dominated convergence theorem for series, we obtain on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{\mu_n,1}(s) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+c)e^{(1+c)s}\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{k!(k+1)!}\left(\frac{s\sqrt{(1+2c)}}{2}\right)^{2k}.
$$

The same hold for the function $G_n^{\mu_n,2}$ if we replace the sequence $a_j(n)$ by

$$b_j(n) = \frac{(n-m+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2})\cdots(n-m+\mu_n-j+\frac{1}{2})(n-m-1)(n-m-2)\cdots(n-m-j-1)}{n^{2j}}.$$

Since for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$n-m-k \leq n \text{ and } n-m-k+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2} \leq m+\mu_n+\frac{5}{2},$$

then

$$b_j(n) \leq (\gamma+3)^j,$$

and we deduce the same limits on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ as for the function $G_n^{\mu_n,1}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{s\frac{t}{n}}\tau_n(dt) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{\mu_n,1}(s) + \lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{\mu_n,2}(s) \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}+c)e^{(1+c)s}{}_0F_1(2;\frac{s}{2}\sqrt{1+2c})).\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.8** — *For $\alpha<\beta$, $s\in\mathbb{C}$,*

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\alpha^\beta e^{st}\sqrt{(t-\alpha)(\beta-t)}dt = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}\right)^2 e^{\frac{\beta+\alpha}{2}s}{}_0F_1(2;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}s).$$

**Proof.**— By performing the change of variables $u=\frac{2}{\beta-\alpha}(t-\frac{\beta+\alpha}{2})$, it follows

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\alpha^\beta e^{st}\sqrt{(t-\alpha)(\beta-t)}dt = (\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2})^2 e^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}s}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-1}^1 e^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}s}\sqrt{1-u^2}du,$$

The last integral is essentially a Bessel function and the result follow.

**Proof of theorem 2.1.**— Let denote by $g_c$ the density on $[a^2,b^2]$ defined by

$$g_c(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{(t-a^2)(b^2-t)},$$

where $a^2 = 1+c-\sqrt{1+2c}$ and $b^2 = 1+c+\sqrt{1+2c}$.

From the previous lemma we have for all $s\in\mathbb{C}$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{st}g_c(dt) = (\frac{1}{2}+c)e^{(1+c)s}{}_0F_1(2;\frac{s}{2}\sqrt{1+2c}). \tag{2.2}$$

Since the function ${}_0F_1$ is continuous at 0, applying Lévy-Cramér theorem we deduce from equations (2.1) and (2.2) that, the measure $\tau_n$ scaled by $\frac{1}{n}$ converge weakly to the measure $\tau$ with density $g_c$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure .

Since

$$\tau_n(dt) = \sqrt{t}h_n^{\mu_n}(\sqrt{t})dt,$$

hence, for every compactly supported function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and by performing the change of variable $t = \sqrt{u}$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{+\infty} t^2\varphi(t)h_n^{\mu_n}(t)dt &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(\sqrt{u})\sqrt{u}h_n^{\mu_n}(\sqrt{u})du \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(\sqrt{u})\tau_n(du).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty}\varphi(\sqrt{\frac{u}{n}})\tau_n(du) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{a^2}^{b^2}\varphi(\sqrt{u})g_c(u)du = \int_a^b \varphi(v)g_c(v^2)vdv.$$

it follows that,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty}(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}})^2\varphi(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}})h_n^{\mu_n}(t)dt = \int_a^b \varphi(t)g_c(t^2)tdt.$$

Therefore, there is some constant $A \geq 0$, such that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c([0,+\infty[)$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty}\varphi(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}})h_n^{\mu_n}(t)dt = A\varphi(0) + \int_a^b \varphi(t)g_c(t^2)\frac{dt}{t}.$$

Since the function $h_n^{\mu_n}$ is even, It readily follows that, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}})h_n^{\mu_n}(t)dt = A\psi(0) + \int_{[-b,-a]\cup[a,b]}\psi(t)g_c(t^2)\frac{dt}{|t|}.$$

To compute the constant $A$, observe that

$$\int_{[-b,-a]\cup[a,b]} g_c(t^2)\frac{dt}{|t|} = 1.$$

Hence the limit measure is a probability and $A$=0.

Which means that after scaling by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ the density $h_n^{\mu_n}$ converge as $n$ goes to infinity on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ to the function $f_c$ where

$$f_c(t) = \frac{1}{\pi|t|}\sqrt{(t^2-a^2)(b^2-t^2)},$$

$a = \sqrt{1+c-\sqrt{1+2c}}$ and $b = \sqrt{1+c+\sqrt{1+2c}}$.
This complete the proof.




Figure 1: **Plot of the density $f_c$.**

for $c = 0.1$.
for $c = 1$.
for $c = 0$, the semi-circle law.

# 3 Asymptotic of the largest eigenvalue

**Proposition 3.1** — *Let $X_n$ be sequence of hermitian random matrices defined on the same probability space and such that the distribution of $X_n$ is the probability $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mu_n$ be a positive real sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = c$ for some constant c, then*

1. $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\max}(X_n)}{\sqrt{n}} = b$ almost surely.
2. $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\min}(X_n)}{\sqrt{n}} = -b$ almost surely.

Before proving the proposition we will give sum preliminary results in concentration inequalities.

## 3.1 Concentration inequalities

**Definition 3.2** —*A probability measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be satisfy a logarithmic Sobolev inequality if there exists some nonnegative constant $c_0$ independent of n such that,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2 \log(f^2) d\sigma \leq 2c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla f\|_2^2 d\sigma, \tag{3.3}$$

*for every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 d\mu = 1$.*

*Where $\nabla$ is the Euclidean gradient and $\|\cdot\|_2$ the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

The next proposition gives an important example related to Boltzmann density.

**Proposition 3.3** (Ledoux 1999, [7])

*Let $\nu$ be a Boltzmann probability on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of type $d\mu = \frac{1}{Z}\exp(-V(x))dx$, such that $V$ is a twice continuous differentiable function. If there is some constant $c > 0$ such that $V''(x) - cI_n$ is positive symmetric matrix for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\mu$ satisfies* (3.3) *with constant* $c_0 = \dfrac{1}{c}$

**Corollary 3.4** ( Ledoux 1999, [7])

*Let $\nu$ be a Boltzmann measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying equation* (3.3) *and $F$ be a real or complex valued Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|F\|_{Lip} \leq \alpha$, then*

$$\nu\Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |F(x) - E_\nu(F)| > r\Big\} \leq 2\exp(-r^2/4c_0\alpha^2).$$

*Where $E_\nu$ is the expectation of $F$ with respect to the measure $\nu$ and $\|F\|_{Lip} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \dfrac{|F(x)|}{\|x\|_2}$ is the Lipschitz constant.*

**Proposition 3.5** — *Let $\gamma_n$ be the probability measure defined on the open set*

$$\Sigma = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i \neq x_j,\ x_i \neq 0, \forall\, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

*with density $\dfrac{1}{Z_n} e^{-V_n(x)}$, where*

$$V_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^2 - 2\mu_n \log|x_i|) - 2 \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} \log|x_i - x_j|,$$

*$\mu_n$ is a sequence of positif real numbers and $Z_n$ is a normalizing constant. Then $\gamma_n$ satisfies* (3.3) *with constant* $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}$.

**Corollary 3.6** — *Let $f$ be a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\|f\|_{Lip} \leq \alpha$. For $\delta > 0$, defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ the function*

$$F(\frac{x}{\delta}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\frac{x_i}{\delta}) \quad \forall\, x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Then*

1. *The function $F$ is lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|F\|_{Lip} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\delta\sqrt{n}}$.*
2. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each $\delta > 0$,*

$$\gamma_n\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ \left|F(\frac{x}{\delta}) - E_{\gamma_n}(F)\right| > \varepsilon\right\} \leq 2\exp(-\frac{n\delta^2\varepsilon^2}{2\alpha^2}),$$

*where $E_{\gamma_n}$ is the expectation with respect to the probability $\gamma_n$.*

**Proof.—**
1) We know that $\|f\|_{Lip} \leq \alpha$, which means that for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leq \alpha|s - t|.$$

Hence by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality it follows that, for all $\delta > 0$

$$\left|F(\frac{x}{\delta}) - F(\frac{y}{\delta})\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|f(\frac{x_i}{\delta}) - f(\frac{y_i}{\delta})| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\delta\sqrt{n}}\|x - y\|_2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence

$$\|F\|_{Lip} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\delta\sqrt{n}}.$$

2) The function $V_n$ is twice differentiable on the open set $\left\{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_i \neq x_j,\ x_i \neq 0\right\}$. Furthermore for all $k = 1, \cdots, n$

$$\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial x_k} = 2x_k - \frac{2\mu_n}{x_k} - 2\sum_{i=1, i\neq k}^{n}\frac{1}{x_k - x_i}.$$

Take the second derivative, it follows

$$\frac{\partial^2 V_n}{\partial^2 x_k} = 2 + \frac{2\mu_n}{x_k^2} + 2\sum_{i=1, i\neq k}^{n} \frac{1}{(x_k - x_i)^2},$$

and for $\ell \neq k$,

$$\frac{\partial^2 V_n}{\partial x_\ell \partial x_k} = \frac{-2}{(x_k - x_\ell)^2}.$$

The goal is to prove that $V''(x) - cI_n$ is positive matrix uniformly on $x$ in the sense of the usual Euclidean structure .
Let $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ be an element in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

$$< V_n''(x)y, y >= 2\|y\|^2 + 2\mu_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_k^2}{x_k^2} + 2\sum_{i\neq k} \frac{y_k^2}{(x_k - x_i)^2} - 2\sum_{i\neq k} \frac{y_k y_i}{(x_k - x_i)^2}.$$

Now applied two time the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i\neq k} \frac{y_k y_i}{(x_k - x_i)^2} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1, i\neq k}^{n} \Big[ \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_k^2}{(x_k - x_i)^2} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_i^2}{(x_k - x_i)^2} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big] \\
&\leq \Big( \sum_{i=1, i\neq k}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_k^2}{(x_k - x_i)^2} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big( \sum_{i=1, i\neq k}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_i^2}{(x_k - x_i)^2} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sum_{i\neq k} \frac{y_k^2}{(x_k - x_i)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$< V''(x)y, y > \geq 2\|y\|^2 \quad \forall\, x \in \Sigma,$$

which means that the symmetric matrix $V''(x) - 2I_n$ is positive.

We come to our application

**Proposition 3.7** — *Let $X_n$ be a sequence of hermitian random matrices, defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P})$, such that $X_n$ follows as the probability law $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}(dX) = \frac{1}{C_n} |\det(X)|^{2\mu_n} e^{-\mathrm{tr}(X^2)} m_n(dX)$, where $C_n$ is a normalizing constant, $\mu_n$ is a positive sequence and $m_n$ is the Lebesgue measure on the space $H_n$ of hermitian matrices.*

*For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for every $\omega \in \Omega$, let $\rho_{n,\omega}$ denoted the statistical distribution of the ordering eigenvalues $\lambda_1\Big(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) \leq \lambda_2\Big(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n\Big(\frac{X_n\Big(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)$ of $X_n(\omega)$, which means that*

$$\rho_{n,\omega} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_i\left(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)}.$$

*Then for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, under the condition $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = c$, the measure $\rho_{n,\omega}$ converge weakly to the distribution $\nu_c$, with density*

$$f_c(t) = \frac{1}{\pi|t|}\sqrt{(t^2-a^2)(b^2-t^2)}\chi_S(t),$$

*where $S = [-b,-a] \cup [a,b]$, $a = \sqrt{1+c-\sqrt{1+2c}}$, $b = \sqrt{1+c+\sqrt{1+2c}}$ and $\chi_S$ is the characteristic function of the set $S$.*
*Furthermore, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, and all interval $I$ in $\mathbb{R}$*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}\text{card}\Big(\text{sp}[X_n(\omega)]\Big) \cap I\right) = \nu_c(I),$$

*where $\text{sp}[X_n(\omega)]$ mean the spectrum of the random matrix $X_n(\omega)$.*

**Proof.**— In the first hand, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$,.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\rho_{n,\omega}(dx) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\lambda_i(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}})\right) = \text{tr}_n\left[f\left(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right],$$

where $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of continuous functions which go to 0 at infinity. So it suffices to show that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \text{tr}_n\left[f\left(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\nu_c(dx), \qquad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}).$$

By separability of the Banach space $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, one can just prove the previous equality for each $f$ in some dense subspace of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, the space $\mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ of continuous differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support. Let $f$ be a function in $\mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ and put

$$F(X) = \text{tr}_n(f(X)),\ \forall\, X \in H_n,$$

The function $f$ is Lipschitz with constant $\alpha = \|f\|_{Lip} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |f'(x)|$, hence by corollaty 3.6, the function $F$ is Lipschitz too with $\|F\|_{Lip} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}$ .
The function $F$ and the probability $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$ are invariants by conjugation by the action of the unitary group. Hence if we denote by $\theta_n$, the invariant part of the measure $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$, then for all continuous invariant function $\varphi$ on $H_n$,

$$\int_{H_n} \varphi(X)\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}(dX) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n)\theta_n(d\lambda_1,...,d\lambda_n),$$

where $\varphi(X) = \phi(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n)$, and $\theta_n$ is the probability on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with density

$$\frac{1}{C_n} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^{2\mu_n} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2.$$

Let $\mathbb{E}_n$, respectively $E_{\theta_n}$ be the expectation with respect the probability $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$, respectively $\theta_n$.

Observe that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{X_n(\omega),\ |F(X_n) - \mathbb{E}_n(F)| > \varepsilon\}$$

is invariant by conjugation.

Therefore by the spectral theorem

$$\mathcal{P}\Big\{\omega \in \Omega,\ |F\Big(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) - \mathbb{E}_n(F)| > \varepsilon\Big\} = \mathcal{P}\Big\{\omega \in \Omega,\ |F\Big(\frac{x_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) - \mathbb{E}_{\theta_n}(F)| > \varepsilon\Big\},$$

where $x_n(\omega) = \Big(\lambda_1(X_n(\omega)), \lambda_2(X_n(\omega)), \cdots, \lambda_n(X_n(\omega))\Big) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Furthermore

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^{n} H_{i,j},$$

where $H_{i,j}$ is the vector subspace $H_{i,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i = x_j, i \neq j\}$ for $i \neq j$, and $H_{i,i} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ which is an hyperplane. Since for all $i, j$, $\theta_n(H_{i,j}) = 0$, hence $\theta_n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma) = 0$. Which means that the two measures $\theta_n$ and $\gamma_n$ are equal on $\Sigma$ where $\gamma_n$ is the measure of the proposition 3.5. Hence

$$\mathcal{P}\Big\{\omega \in \Omega,\ |F\Big(\frac{X_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) - \mathbb{E}_n(F)| > \varepsilon\Big\} = \mathcal{P}\Big\{\omega \in \Omega,\ |F\Big(\frac{x_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) - E_{\gamma_n}(F)| > \varepsilon\Big\},$$

here $E_{\gamma_n}$ means the expectation with respect to the probability $\gamma_n$ and $x_n(\omega) \in \Sigma$.

From corollary 3.6, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{P}\Big\{\omega \in \Omega,\ |F\Big(\frac{x_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) - E_{\gamma_n}(F)| > \varepsilon\Big\} \leq 2\exp(-\frac{n^2\varepsilon^2}{2\alpha^2}).$$

By using the Borel Cantelli lemma, it follows that, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$|F\Big(\frac{x_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) - \mathbb{E}_n(F)| \leq \varepsilon, \qquad \forall\, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Since the space $\mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F\Big(\frac{x_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}}\Big) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_n(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\nu_c(dx), \qquad \forall\ f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}).$$

Which mean that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\rho_{n,\omega}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\nu_c(dx), \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}), \text{and all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

The second step of the proposition follow from a classical results of convergence of measures theory.

**Proof of proposition 3.1.—** Now we will show the convergence of the largest eigenvalue.

**First step:** We first show that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\max}(X_n)}{\sqrt{n}} \leq b.$$

Let $X_n$ be a random matrix follows as the distributed $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$, and put

$$b_n^2 = \frac{n + \mu_n + 2 + \sqrt{n(n + 2\mu_n + 4)}}{n}.$$

Observe that the sequence $b_n^2$ converge to $b^2$.

Moreover, for all $t \geq 0$, let $\psi_{n,X_n}$ by defined as follows

$$\psi_{X_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}_n(X_n^2 \mathrm{tr}(\exp(tX_n^2))).$$

where $\mathbb{E}_n$ is the expectation with respect to the probability $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$.

In first hand

$$\psi_{X_n}(t) = n\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 e^{tx^2} h_n^{\mu_n}(x)dx = n\int_0^{+\infty} e^{tx}\tau_n(dx) = n\Big(G_n^{\mu_n,1}(nt) + G_n^{\mu_n,2}(nt)\Big),$$

furthermore, for all $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\frac{1}{1-t} \leq e^{t+t^2}, \text{ and } {}_2F_1(a,b,2;t^2) \leq e^{2t\sqrt{|ab|}}.$$

Thus according to the definition of the functions $G_n^{\mu_n,1}$, $G_n^{\mu_n,2}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_{n,X_n}(t)\\ &\leq M_n\Big(e^{(2m+\mu_n+\frac{3}{2})(t+t^2)+2t\sqrt{(m+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2})(m-2)}} + e^{(2(n-m)+\mu_n-\frac{3}{2})(t+t^2)+2t\sqrt{(n-m+\mu_n-\frac{3}{2})(n-m-2)}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $M_n = \max(a_n, b_n)$, $a_n = \dfrac{(m+1)(m+\mu_n+\frac{1}{2})}{n}$ and $b_n = \dfrac{(n-m-1)(n-m+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2})}{n}$.

Furthermore by simple computation we have,

$$\psi_{n,X_n}(t) \leq \delta_n e^{nb_n^2 t+(n+\mu_n+4)t^2}.$$

Let $Y_n$ be the random matrix defined by $Y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_n$, then

$$\psi_{n,Y_n}(t) = \frac{1}{n}\psi_{n,X_n}(\frac{t}{n}),$$

and for $t \in [0, \frac{n}{2}]$,

$$\psi_{n,Y_n}(t) \leq \frac{\delta_n}{n} e^{b_n^2 t+\frac{1}{n}(1+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})t^2}.$$

Furthermore $Y_n$ and $Y_n^2$ are hermitian matrices, by spectral theorem and straightforward computation we obtain

$$\Big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\Big)^2 \leq \lambda_{\max}(Y_n^2).$$

Thus for all $t \geq 0$, by positivity of the exponential function we have

$$\mathrm{tr}\Big(\exp(tY_n^2)\Big) \geq \exp\Big(t\lambda_{\max}(Y_n^2)\Big) \geq \exp\Big(t\Big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\Big)^2\Big),$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}\Big(\big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\big)^2 \geq b_n^2+\varepsilon\Big) &= \mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}\Big(t\Big\{\Big[\big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\big)^2-\big(b_n^2+\varepsilon\big)\Big]\Big\}\geq 0\Big)\\
&= \mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}\Big(\exp\Big(t\Big[\big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\big)^2-\big(b_n^2+\varepsilon\big)\Big]\Big)\geq 1\Big)\\
&\leq \mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}\Big(\exp\big(t\lambda_{\max}(Y_n^2)-t(b_n^2+\varepsilon)\big)\geq 1\Big)\\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_n\Big\{\exp\big(-t(b_n^2+\varepsilon)\big)Y_n^2\exp\big(tY_n^2\big)\Big\}\\
&= e^{-t(b_n^2+\varepsilon)}\mathbb{E}_n\big(Y_n^2\exp(tY_n^2)\big)\\
&= e^{-t(b_n^2+\varepsilon)}\psi_{n,Y_n}(t)\\
&\leq \frac{\delta_n}{n}e^{-t\varepsilon+(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})\frac{t^2}{n}}\\
&\leq \frac{\delta_n}{n}e^{-t_0\varepsilon+(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})\frac{t_0^2}{n}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$t_0=\frac{n\varepsilon}{2(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})}.$$

We have used the fact that the function $t\mapsto -t\varepsilon+(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})\frac{t^2}{n}$ attaint it minimum at the point $t_0=\frac{n\varepsilon}{2(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})}$.

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}\Big(\big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\big)^2 \geq b_n^2+\varepsilon\Big)\leq \frac{\delta_n}{n}\exp\Big(\frac{-n\varepsilon}{4(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{4}{n})}\Big).$$

Observe that the sequence $\dfrac{\delta_n}{n}=\sup\Big(\dfrac{(m+1)(m_n+\mu_n+\frac{3}{2})}{n};\dfrac{(n-m-1)(n-m+\mu_n-\frac{1}{2})}{n}\Big)$ is bounded.

Furthermore as $n\to+\infty$,

$$\exp\left(\frac{-n\varepsilon}{4(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\mu_n}{n}+\frac{2}{n})}\right)\sim e^{-\frac{n\varepsilon}{4c}}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}\Big(\big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\big)^2 \geq b_n^2+\varepsilon\Big)<\infty.$$

Hence the Borel-Cantelli lemma yield, that on a set with probability one, we have

$$\Big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\Big)^2 \leq b_n^2 + \varepsilon, \quad \text{eventually},$$

and consequently

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\Big(\lambda_{\max}(Y_n)\Big)^2 \leq \limsup_{n\to+\infty} b_n^2 + \varepsilon \leq b^2 + \varepsilon.$$

Since $b \geq 0$, hence $b^2 + \varepsilon \leq (b + \sqrt{\varepsilon})^2$, thus for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \lambda_{\max}(Y_n) \leq b + \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \lambda_{\max}(Y_n) \leq b.$$

Which means that, if $X_n$ is a random matrix distributed according to the probability $\mathbb{P}_{n,\mu_n}$ then

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\max}(X_n)}{\sqrt{n}} = \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \lambda_{\max}(\frac{X_n}{\sqrt{n}}) = \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \lambda_{\max}(Y_n) \leq b.$$

**Second step.** In the other hand given any $\varepsilon > 0$, from the previous proposition, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{card}(\mathrm{sp}[X_n(\omega)]) \cap [b-\varepsilon, +\infty[\right) = +\infty.$$

Which mean that $\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\max}(X_n)}{\sqrt{n}} \geq b-\varepsilon$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, then the conclusion hold.

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\max}(X_n)}{\sqrt{n}} = b \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

The second step in the proposition follows by considering $-X_n$ instead of $X_n$.

**Acknowledgments.**

My sincere thanks go to Jacques Faraut for his comments on this manuscript and his important remarks. Thanks also to the referee for here useful remarks.

# References


[1] Akemann. G. *The solution of a chiral random matrix model with complex eigenvalues.* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36. (2003).

[2] Damgaard. P. H and Nishigaki. S. M. *Universal Spectral Correlators and Massive Dirac Operators* Nucl. Phys. B518 (1998)

[3] Faraut. J. *Logarithmic potential theory, orthogonal polynomials, and random matrices*, CIMPA Scool, 2011, Hammamet.

[4] Feller. W. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. II, Wisely & Sons Inc. (1971).

[5] Haagerup. U, Thorbjørnsen. S. *Random matrices with complex Gaussian entries*, Exp. Mat. 21, 293-337 (2003).

[6] Mehta. M. L. *Random matrices*, second edition, academic press (1991).

[7] Ledoux. M. *Concentration of measure and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities* Séminaire de Probabilités XXXIII Lecture Notes in Mathematics Volume 1709, 1999, pp 120-216

[8] Rosenblum. M. *Generalized Hermite Polynomials and the Bose-Like Oscillator Calculus*, Nonselfadjoint Operators and Related Topics Operator Theory: Advances and Applications Volume 73, (1994), pp 369-396.



Department of Mathematics, College of Applied Sciences, Umm Al-Qura University, P.O Box (715), Makkah, Saudi Arabia.
E-mail address: bouali@math.jussieu.fr, bouali25@laposte.net